

NOV 19 2007

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GREGORIO RAMOS ARRIOLA,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 07-72260

Agency No. A95-449-091

MEMORANDUM *

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 13, 2007**

Before: McKEOWN, TALLMAN and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA")
denial of a motion to reopen removal proceedings.

Petitioner submitted evidence that his daughter was experiencing

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

07-72260

psychological difficulty due to her parents' decision to separate from their relationship. The BIA did not abuse its discretion by finding that this evidence would not change the result in this case and thus that the case did not warrant reopening. *See Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003).

Accordingly, the petition is summarily denied.

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.